

- Some people think an international car-free day is an effective way to reduce air pollution. Others think that there are more effective ways to do this. Discuss both sides and give your own opinion.

Cars can be considered ~~as~~ one of the most practical inventions of the past century. The practicality, however, comes at a price as problems such as air pollution, as a direct result of excessive use of cars, have become universal recently. To deal with air pollution, many consider an ~~d~~ international car-free day to be of benefit. Others, however, are not so sure about the practicality of this idea.

Many consider the main benefit of an international car-free day, apart from its contribution to less air pollution, to be in raising awareness, hence the importance of this day. It may seem that just one day without the car would not contribute much to ~~mitigate~~ mitigating the environmental pollution. However, it will acquaint people with the positive effects of using fewer cars on air pollution. This and the sense that people are participating in an effective universal movements ~~s~~ will eventually lead to more car-free days and will change the viewpoint in society regarding how much we should use our cars for daily commute.

On the other hand, there ~~are-is~~ skepticism around the practicality of this idea. Many believe that such movements are part of attempts to redeem our conscience. These days may give us the false impression that we are taking adequate actions to improve the situation without changing our attitudes towards this phenomenon. What would be the advantages of such a day if we used our cars excessively every other day? Environmental activities require determination and the will to spend money. Without these factors, the benefits of such movements are hard to quantify.

To conclude, it seems that incorporating such awareness movements with other practical methods would be the best way to tackle air pollution.